What Makes Society Embrace AI?

A pattern from the railroad to AI, and what the 2026 data is now telling us.

Rohit Purohit, Founder and CEO, ViitorCloud Technologies

Enterprise practice of ViitorCloud Technologies

ISO 27001 certified since 2009

enterprise.viitorcloud.com

Railroads, telephones, light bulbs, electricity, automobiles, and personal computers all faced doubt and resistance before they became part of everyday life. Each one was once described as dangerous, useless, or both.

The pattern is older than the technology that triggers it.

The telephone was dismissed by Britain's chief post office engineer in 1878. The light bulb was called "a conspicuous failure" by a leading technologist in 1879. In each case, the resistance came from a mix of safety concerns, disruption to existing norms, or simple misunderstanding of what the thing was good for.

We are watching the same pattern with AI, only at higher speed and across more institutions at the same time.

What Actually Drives Acceptance

Academic work on technology adoption keeps pointing to the same set of factors. They sound obvious, but the order matters.

- Perceived risk and benefit. What is in it for me, and what could go wrong.

- Trust in the institutions building it. Who is asking me to use this.

- Knowledge about the innovation. Do I understand it well enough to form a view.

- Cultural context. Does this fit how my community already thinks about fairness, work, and authority.

Nuclear power and genetically modified crops both ran straight into these. Decades later, public opinion is still split in many regions. AI is younger than both, and the same questions are now landing on every CEO, regulator, and product team.

What the 2026 Numbers Say

The Stanford HAI 2026 AI Index reports that the share of people globally who see more benefits than drawbacks from AI products moved from 55 percent in 2024 to 59 percent in 2025. The directional trend is positive.

The same report shows that 52 percent of people feel nervous about AI products. Optimism and nervousness are running in parallel, which is unusual for a maturing technology.

The gap that matters most is not between countries. It is between experts and the public.

- 56 percent of AI experts say they are more excited than concerned about AI. Only 10 percent of the American public says the same.

- 84 percent of experts believe AI will improve medical care. The public agrees at 44 percent.

- 73 percent of experts believe AI will help the job market. The public agrees at 23 percent. This gap is wider than it has been with any previous technology shift in recent memory.

The Edelman 2026 Trust Barometer adds a different angle. People trust their own employer's use of AI more than they trust their government's use of it. 56 percent of employees are comfortable with how their own company is using AI. Only 34 percent feel the same about government.

That detail is worth sitting with. Trust is local. It travels from people you know to systems you can see, and only then to institutions you read about in headlines.

The Gen Z Surprise

The most interesting data point in this year's index is not about overall opinion. It is about young people.

A Gallup poll measured the share of Gen Z respondents who describe themselves as excited about AI. That number fell from 36 percent in 2025 to 22 percent in 2026. The share feeling angry rose from 22 percent to 31 percent.

This is the cohort that grew up with smartphones, social media, and constant exposure to new technology. Their direction of travel is the opposite of what most adoption models would predict. It says something about how AI has been introduced into their daily lives, what they were promised, and what they actually experienced.

What This Means for Enterprise

A few things follow from the data, especially for businesses now putting AI into production.

First, the technology gets normalized through usage, not through marketing. Edelman's work shows that hands-on experience is the fastest route to trust. People who have had AI improve their understanding of a complex topic are more likely to trust it for other things.

Second, the institutions closest to the user move trust faster than institutions further away. Employer use of AI is more trusted than vendor claims, which are more trusted than government regulation. If you are an enterprise putting AI into your workflows, the trust you build with your own employees and customers is doing more work than any external messaging.

Third, the skeptics deserve a real answer. The pattern with every previous technology was the same. Resistance fades once safety, evidence, and usefulness become visible. With AI, the people raising hard questions about jobs, bias, and concentration are not blocking adoption. They are pointing at what needs to be addressed for adoption to last.

Point of View

Every transformative technology has run this gauntlet. The cycle with AI is shorter and louder than the ones that came before, but the structure is the same.

What changes the trajectory is responsible design, openness about what the technology can and cannot do, and visible evidence of benefit in the places people actually live and work. With those three, trust grows and the pattern repeats. Without them, the technology gets stuck in the gap between what experts say and what the public feels.

We have been here before. We know how this plays out when it is done well, and we know how it gets stuck when it is not.

The job, this time, is the same as it was with electricity, the automobile, and the internet. Build something that holds up, show your work, and let the technology earn its place in daily life.

ViitorCloud Enterprise

Deep expertise for enterprise programs that have to hold up in production.

enterprise.viitorcloud.com | enterprise@viitorcloud.com | (+91) 84889 64723